NUMBROL PROTECTION
Same Care
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0251017 DATE: <u>6/10/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:20AM</u> DEPART:	<u>11:00AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CONTINENTAL FLORIDA MATERIALS				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2111 NW 1 Place				
MIAMI 33127-4820				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JACK RAIMONDI PHONE: (954)858-078 Email: jcraimondi@LEHIGHCEMENT.COM Mobile: (954)658-588 CONTACT NAME: PHONE: (954)658-588 Email: Mobile: (954)658-588 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/4/2007 / 5/3/2012 (effective date) (end date)				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(shash 🔽 - suls sus			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Cesar Soto</u>	(check \square only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JACK RAIMONDI? If no, who is?:	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?	☐ Yes ☐No ⊠ Yes ☐No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	YesNo YesNo			

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 –concrete batch plant subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>4/30/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗍 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	box for each o	only one question)
<u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by: 	fined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 		□ No
 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	_	
 particulate matter?	f	No
particulate matter from stock piles?		∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ only one	
	box for each question)	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	Xes No - Yes No	
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	Yes No Yes No Yes No	
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal prop275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa		
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consume for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control	N V.	
devices?	🖂 Yes	∐ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	Yes	🗌 No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary [X]; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followi</i>)	(check 🗹 box for each ing question 2.)	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	ge)? 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	•
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 	nits or	🖂 No

2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	🛛 No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes [🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?] Yes [🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?] Yes [🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership?] Yes [🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitte	ed	
	30 days prior to the change?	Yes [No No

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

6/10/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

6/10/2011

COMMENTS: On June 10, 2011 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to witness the visible emissions tests. On site I met Cesar Soto, the manager of the plant. This facility produces 2000 yards of concrete per month. Francis Morlu, South Florida Environmental Services, conducted the VE tests on four silos. The silos are loaded with cement at a rate of 10 PSI. All the sprinklers were on during my visit. I did not observe any visible emissions during the test. No fugitive emissions were observed around the facility.